UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

Armond Cooks,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	· :
V.	:
National Credit Audit Corporation,	COMPLAINT JURY
Defendant.	· : :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Armond Cooks, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of the Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

4. The Plaintiff, Armond Cooks ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Plano, Texas, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant National Credit Audit Corporation ("National"), is a Georgia business entity with an address of 1000 Alderman Drive # 71-N, Alpharetta, Georgia 30005-4101, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 6. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$1,200.00 (the "Debt") to Falls At Highpoint Apartments (the "Creditor").
- 7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to National for collection, or National was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 9. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. National Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 10. Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular phone line concerning his account with Falls at Highpoint Apartments.
 - 11. Defendant called Plaintiff on a daily basis in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 12. Defendant failed to inform Plaintiff that the communication was an attempt to collect a debt and everything Plaintiff said would be used for that purpose.

- 13. Defendant placed automated calls with pre-recorded voice messages on Plaintiff's cellular phone line in an attempt to collect the Debt. Plaintiff had not provided this number as a contact phone number.
- 14. Defendant place calls to Plaintiff after 9 pm. Specifically, Defendant called at 9:02pm on May 31, 2011; at 9:15pm on June 2, 2011; at 9:28pm on June 6, 2011; and at 11:15pm on June 8, 2011.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 15. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 16. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear and frustration.

COUNT IVIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 17. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 18. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff.
- 19. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff after 9:00 p.m.
- 20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.

- 21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) in that Defendants failed to inform the consumer that the communication was an attempt to collect a debt.
- 22. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 23. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT TEX. FIN. CODE ANN. § 392, et al.

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
 - 25. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(1).
- 26. The Defendants are each a "debt collector" and a "third party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6) and (7).
- 27. The Defendants caused a telephone to ring repeatedly, with the intent to annoy or abuse the Plaintiff, in violation of Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.302(4).
- 28. The Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1) and (2) and to remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a).

COUNT III INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION INTO PRIVATE AFFAIRS

- 29. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 30. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) defines intrusion upon seclusion as, "One who intentionally intrudes…upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs

or concerns, is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."

- 31. Texas further recognizes the Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy, thus the Defendants violated Texas state law.
- 32. The Defendants intentionally intruded upon the Plaintiff's right to privacy by continually harassing the Plaintiff with numerous calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone line and calling Plaintiff after 9 pm.
- 33. The conduct of the Defendants in engaging in the illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy in such a way as would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 34. As a result of the intrusions and invasions, the Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from the Defendants.
- 35. All acts of the Defendants and its agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Defendants are subject to punitive damages.

<u>COUNT IV</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT –</u> <u>47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

- 36. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 37. Without prior consent the Defendants contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

- 38. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 39. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- 4. Injunctive relief pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1);
- 5. Actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(2);
- 6. Remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a);
- 7. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);
- 8. Actual damages from the Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- 9. Punitive damages; and
- 10. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: June 20, 2011

Respectfully submitted,
By: __/s/ Diana P. Larson
Diana P. Larson
Texas Bar No. 24007799
Erik V. Larson
Texas Bar No. 00791076
The Larson Law Office, PLLC
440 Louisiana, Suite 900
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephones (713) 221,0088

Telephone: (713) 221-9088 Facsimile: (832) 415-9762

Email: diana@thelarsonlawoffice.com Email: erik@thelarsonlawoffice.com

Of Counsel To:

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. A Connecticut Law Firm 1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (888) 953-6237

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF